UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2000 FEB 26 PM 4: 24

	TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk CASE NO.: 08cv0159 IEG (CAB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner		
CASE TITLE: Malone v. Campbell			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Extension of Time for Filing Amended Petition			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
✓ I	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
X	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
		OTHER:	
		Date forwarded: 2/21/2008	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
₩	The documen	he document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.		
	Rejected doc	ument to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is act to Local Rule	lvised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant 83.1 CHAMBERS OF:	
	Dated: 2	By: WE POE	